GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896 1897
~~191~~.

(Murrai)

File No. 75

Serial Nos. 1. 3 4. 5-6.

Papers Filed.

Month December 99

SUBJECT.

Rejects the petition of Muniappa Archak of Chowdeswari Ammanavaru, Hospete Sidlaghatta Taluk in re his Inam Lands resumed at the Inam Settlement.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

అభ్యంతరాలు
16 37

SC 1

—

—

—

—

1899

SL 3

From

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.



To *The Musgrave Secretary to the Govt of Mysore*

Dated *27th October 1899*

Despatched

Received

General No. *1482*

No. *277*

Enclosure No. *1 file*

Reporting on the petition of Munnappa Arekeri of Chowdeswara Amma - Navaru, Hoopete, Kolar - Ghatta Taluk

Vide no. 448 dt 7-11 99

Deputy Commr's Office, Kolar,
Dated 27th October 1899.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To.

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memorandum No 1149 dated 20/22 May 1897 forwarding for report a petition from Immiappa, archik of Chowdeswari amma-navaru, Hoshete village Jangamkote Hobli, Sidlaghatta Taluk, complaining that Inam lands endowed to the temple have been resumed and assessed fully in the Survey settlement and praying that the said lands may be confirmed as inam as heretofore, I have the honor to state that lands shown in the Marein situated in the village of Hoshek — Sidlaghatta Taluk were enjoyed by the petitioner as — archik of the institution paying a small Jodi of Rs 1-8-0. In the main settlement in 1875-76 they were resumed and converted into ordinary Kandayam lands though no alteration was made in the amount he was paying till then. This amount together with local cess was

continued

As per Survey Survey No. Extent &c.
No 8 — 2-25 —
" 30 — 1-23 —
Gantu No 70 — 0-36 —

Assessment.
4 — 8 — 0
3 — 0 —
7 — 0 — 0
1/4 — 8 — 0

As per margin.
No 110
No 168
No 196

continued to be collected from him up to survey settlement which took place in 1885-86, when the Lands were reassessed at Rs 14-8-0 as per margin and registered in the name of the deity. Hence the Complaint.

2 Information regarding the circumstances under which the Inam was resumed and the tenure changed into ordinary Kandayam lands, is not forthcoming in the records of the Taluk.

3. The enclosures of the above together with vernacular correspondence ending with letter No 43 dated 26th July 1887 from the General Assistant Commissioner Kolar are here. -with returned for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

MUZRAI

189

Sl 4

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Inam Circle

Dated 7-11-99

Despatched 8-11-99

Received

General No. 444

Muzrai F. No

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request to be furnished with an Extract of the Inam Registers pertaining to the resumption of the marginally noted lands. ~~Inam lands~~ lands formerly enjoyed as Inam by the Archak of the Chowdeshwari Ammanavaru temple at Hosdeh, Jangamkatta Hobli, Sidlaghatta Taluk.

B.P.

Muzrai Secy.


Survey No. Extent Assk.
Sy No 8 2-25 — 4-8-0
No 30 1-25 — 3-4-0
Garden No 70. 0-36 — 7-0-0
11-8-0
Taluk. Hobli village
Sidlaghatta. Hosdeh. Jangam Katta
Survey No. Extent Assk.
Sy No 8. 2-25 — 4-8-0
No 30 1-25 — 3-4-0
Garden No 70 0-36 — 7-0-0
11-8-0

1899-1900

Sl 5

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated 17th
Despatched 29th } Received in Secy's Office
Received

No. 35 of 1899—1900

Enclosure No. One

Inam Register
Recd with letter from Thanni
regarding restoration of
Archakam lands of Thanni
Rejected to be
filed
as
28/12/99

H.C. is docketed 448 dated 7th Instant.

As requested in the above, I have the honor to forward, herewith, an Extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the resumption of the Inam lands enjoyed by the Archik of Chowdeswari Amma's Temple at Hosapet village, Jangamkote Hobli, Siddaghatta Taluk.

M. R.

Supdt of Inam Settlts

Register Sheet No 276

Village = Hosapyata

Summuth = Jangamkote

Taluk — Devanahally

Copy ~~Register~~ the Register of Inams in the Village of Kosapyate ~~in the~~

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's
1	2	3	4	5
Resumed Register No 99				
			As per Sanad Suvarnadayam patti of 1835	
2	Devadaya	Dry Nos 110, 168, 169	5 cood.	
		† Wet Nos 196	As per Aval No account of 1848 2 cood 4 Seers	

Sammat of Jangamkote ——— **in the Talook of** Devanahally

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c. whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c, or liable to quit rent, &c, Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
1 — 2 — 7	"	For puje every Tuesday and Friday to Choudeswaraswamy at Hosapyate. Temple is in good order, and services rendered.	Jody for Dry 1 — 2 — 7 Do. Wet free

of the Nundidroog

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name, and age &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Hosapyrabi Chowdeswari			
The Inam is enjoyed by Munijappa agent of Subbamma Widow			

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

From a remark in the account of 1835, it appears that the Inam had been resumed. The dry pays assessment and the wet is not shown in any of the standard accounts. The Sambhog files copy of a S.D. said to have been issued Amildar in 1836. But we cannot accept the cop as valid.

The Inam should accordingly be resumed

/Signed/ V. N. Karas
Assis
16-6-73

Whole Grant
/Signed/ T. Kitto
off[g] Assistant Supt[t]

31- - 4.

Resumed and to be fully assessed.

/Signed/ J. Puckle
Supt[t].
7-3-74

"Copy"

Compd[a] M. K. [illegible]

Bangalore
the 17 November
1899

Supt[t] of Inam Settl[t]

Read —

Petition no 1637 dated 3rd may 1897, from Moonisabba Pujari of Chandeswara Ammanavara temple in Hoskot, Sidlaghatta Taluk, praying that certain Inam lands which were resumed at the Inam Settlement may be re-registered as Inam to the temple

Read also,

Letter no $\frac{482}{277}$ dated 27th October 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, reporting on the above

And,

Docket no 35 dated

17th November 1899,

from the Inam

Superintendent

forwarding for

reference a copy of

Inam Register

pertaining to the

resumption of the

Inam lands formerly
Chanderwai
enjoyed by the temple at Korpete.

---

order thereon No 536 Dt 1/12/99

The Inam

Register received

from the Inam

Superintendent

shews that the Inam

lands referred to

by the Petitioner, Muniappa, were resumed at the Inam Settlement held in 1874, on the ground that the Inam in question had, according to the accounts of 1835, ~~been previously~~ been brought under resumption.

2. The Government therefore declines to re-open the question at this distance of time.

3. The petition is accordingly rejected.

Revenue Secretary

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar Distt returning
enclosures.

Kannarese Endorsement to the Petitioner